

# Phylogenetic relatedness and invader success:

... an experimental approach of Darwin's naturalization conundrum



Shaopeng Li

*Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of Toronto  
&  
School of Life Sciences, Sun Yat-sen University*

August 9<sup>th</sup>


Understanding the factors that determine species invasiveness and habitat invasibility is a central theme in invasion ecology





“As species of the same genus have usually... some similarity in habits and constitution, and always in structure, the struggle will be more severe between species of the same genus, when they come into contact with each other...”

Darwin 1859, *The Origin of Species*


## Chapter III




# Darwin's naturalization hypothesis




# Darwin's naturalization hypothesis



# Darwin's naturalization hypothesis







“It might have been expected that the plants which have succeeded in becoming naturalized in any land would generally have been closely allied to the indigenes; for these are commonly looked at as specially created and adapted for their own country.”

Darwin 1859, *The Origin of Species*


**Chapter IV**

# Pre-adaption hypothesis







# Pre-adaption hypothesis




# Pre-adaption hypothesis



# Pre-adaption hypothesis





# Darwin's naturalization conundrum




Does the phylogenetic relatedness of invaders to native communities promote or hamper invader naturalization/success?

...recent studies produced mixed results.



However, invasion process could be divided into different stages ...



# Our hypothesis




# Our hypothesis



# Experimental design

(a) Experimental design in 2009

(b) Experimental design in 2010






# Experimental design




# Species pool







# Effect of phylogenetic relatedness on the invader establishment




# Effect of phylogenetic relatedness on average size of the invader



# Effect of phylogenetic relatedness on invader biomass



# Effect of species richness on invader establishment, size and biomass



**Table 1** Results of univariate models fitting the proportion established, average individual size and aboveground biomass of the invader via phylogenetic relatedness and species richness.

Dependent	Variable	<i>Slope</i>	<i>DF</i>	<i>R</i> <sup>2</sup>	<i>P</i>	AIC
Sqrt (proportion established)	<b>NPD</b>	<b>-0.07</b>	<b>367</b>	<b>0.048</b>	<b>&lt; 0.001</b>	<b>-438.48*</b>
	MPD	-0.11	367	0.034	< 0.001	-432.94
	Species richness	0.00	367	< 0.001	0.959	-420.33
Log (invader size)	<b>NPD</b>	<b>0.43</b>	<b>367</b>	<b>0.149</b>	<b>&lt; 0.001</b>	<b>511.00*</b>
	MPD	0.39	367	0.029	0.001	559.91
	Species richness	-0.03	367	0.100	< 0.001	531.62
Log (invader biomass)	NPD	0.29	367	0.032	< 0.001	828.12
	MPD	0.03	367	< 0.001	0.865	840.02
	<b>Species richness</b>	<b>-0.04</b>	<b>367</b>	<b>0.070</b>	<b>&lt; 0.001</b>	<b>813.18*</b>

NPD, nearest phylogenetic distance. MPD, mean phylogenetic distance.

\*The best single variable model, highlighted in bold.



## Take home message...

- Phylogenetic relatedness has contrasting effects on invader establishment and growth performance;
- Some important mechanisms will be obscured when simply considering the presence or total biomass of an invader species as a single measure of its success.

# Acknowledgements

## Co-authors:

Tao Guo  
Marc W. Cadotte  
Yongjian Chen  
Zhengshuang Hua  
Jialiang Kuang  
Yi Zeng  
Ying Song  
Wensheng Shu  
Jintian Li

## Field assistants:

Weinan Ye  
Yalong Gong  
Bibo Xu  
Jie Li  
Xiaoxin He



## Fundings:



国家自然科学基金  
基金委员会  
National Natural Science  
Foundation of China





Thanks!